Plaintiff,

VS.

9:10-cv-1022 (MAD/DRH)

ROBERT DAVIES, III, Corrections Officer, Coxsackie Correctional Facility; STEVEN GRIMALDI, Corrections Officer, Coxsackie Correctional Facility; MARK HARRIS, Corrections Officer, Coxsackie Correctional Facility; and JASON T. YUNG, Sergeant, Coxsackie Correctional Facility,

DC	Tena.	ant	3.	

Defendante

JURY VERDICT FORM

PLEASE NOTE - Each Juror will be provided with a Verdict Form. HOWEVER, YOUR VERDICT SHOULD BE REPORTED TO THE JUDGE ON <u>ONLY ONE</u> VERDICT FORM WHICH IS SIGNED BY THE JURY FOREPERSON. The remaining Verdict Forms should be returned to the courtroom deputy unsigned.

ALSO, please carefully follow the bold-type directions accompanying each question.

I. EXCESSIVE FORCE

1(a): Has Plaintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Davies subjected him to excessive force?

Yes No X

If your answer to 1(a) is "yes," proceed to question 1(b). If your answer to 1(a) is "no," please skip questions 1(b)-(e) and proceed to question 2(a).

	nintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that the ce used by Defendant Davies was a proximate cause of his injuries?
	Yes No
	If your answer to question 1(b) is "yes," please proceed to question 1(c). If your answer to question 1(b) is "no," please skip questions 1(c), (d), (e) and (f) and proceed to question 2(a).
preponderand	am of money, if any, do you find that Plaintiff Irizarry has proven by a fair ce of the evidence that would fairly compensate him for any injury he has was proximately caused by Defendant Davies's alleged use of excessive
	\$
9 9 8	Please note that you may not award both compensatory and nominal damages. Therefore, if you found in question 1(c) that Plaintiff Irizarry is entitled to compensatory damages against this Defendant, please skip question 1(d) and proceed to question 1(e). If you found that Plaintiff Irizarry is not entitled to compensatory damages in question 1(c), please proceed to question 1(e) and award Plaintiff Irizarry nominal damages of no more than \$1.00.
1(d): What as Defendant D	mount, if any, do you award as nominal damages to Plaintiff Irizarry against avies?
a)	\$
	Please proceed to question 1(e).

Defendant D Plaintiff Iriza	avies was motivated b	by a fair preponderance of the evidence that vevil motive or intent or by reckless indifference to ints, such that punitive damages should be assessed
	Yes	No
	Please proceed to qu	estion 2(a).
보통 : [편집] 전환 (100mm) - 100mm) : [100mm) : [100mm]	nintiff Irizarry proven bjected him to excessi	y a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendance force?
	Yes No	
		a) is "yes," proceed to question 2(b). a) is "no," please skip questions 2(b)- nestion 3(a).
to a conservation of the control of		y a fair preponderance of the evidence that the Grimaldi was a proximate cause of his injuries?
	Yes No	_
	question 2(c). If your answer to question	estion 2(b) is "yes," please proceed to estion 2(b) is "no," please skip ad (e) and proceed to question 3(a).

preponderand	ce of the evidence that would fair	that Plaintiff Irizarry has proven by a fair ly compensate him for any injury he has ndant Grimaldi's alleged use of excessive
	\$	
	Please note that you may not a and nominal damages. Therefore 2(c) that Plaintiff Irizarry is endamages against this Defendand proceed to question 2(e). Irizarry is not entitled to compuestion 2(c), please proceed to Plaintiff Irizarry nominal damages.	fore, if you found in question ntitled to compensatory nt, please skip question 2(d) If you found that Plaintiff pensatory damages in o question 2(d) and award
2(d): What a Defendant G	입기 (그렇게 되는데 1시간 살아서 없었다면 1 시간 12 1시간 12	ominal damages to Plaintiff Irizarry against
	\$	
	Please proceed to question 2(e).
Defendant G Plaintiff Iriza	Frimaldi was motivated by evil m	oreponderance of the evidence that otive or intent or by reckless indifference to nat punitive damages should be assessed
÷	Yes	No
	Please proceed to question 3(a).

	ntiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendant ted him to excessive force?
	Yes No
	If your answer to 3(a) is "yes," proceed to question 3(b). If your answer to 3(a) is "no," please skip questions 3(b)-(e) and proceed to question 4(a).
	ntiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that the e used by Defendant Harris was a proximate cause of his injuries?
	Yes No
	If your answer to question 3(b) is "yes," please proceed to question 3(c). If your answer to question 3(b) is "no," please skip questions 3(c), (d), and (e) and proceed to question 4(a).
preponderance	of money, if any, do you find that Plaintiff Irizarry has proven by a fair of the evidence that would fairly compensate him for any injury he has was proximately caused by Defendant Harris' alleged use of excessive
:	\$
	Please note that you may not award both compensatory and nominal damages. Therefore, if you found in question 3(c) that Plaintiff Irizarry is entitled to compensatory damages against this Defendant, please skip question 3(d) and proceed to question 3(e). If you found that Plaintiff Irizarry is not entitled to compensatory damages in question 3(c), please proceed to question 3(d) and award

Plaintiff Irizarry nominal damages of no more than \$1.00.

3(d): What amount, if any, do you award as nominal damages to Plaintiff Irizarry against Defendant Harris?
\$
Please proceed to question 3(e).
3(e): Did Plaintiff Irizarry establish by a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Harris was motivated by evil motive or intent or by reckless indifference to Plaintiff Irizarry's constitutional rights, such that punitive damages should be assessed against Defendant Harris?
Yes No
Please proceed to question 4(a).
4(a): Has Plaintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Yung subjected him to excessive force?
Yes No_X
If your answer to 4(a) is "yes," proceed to question 4(b). If your answer to 4(a) is "no," please skip questions 4(b)-(e) and proceed to question 5(a).
4(b): Has Plaintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that the excessive force used by Defendant Yung was a proximate cause of his injuries?
Yes No
If your answer to question 4(b) is "yes," please proceed to question 4(c). If your answer to question 4(b) is "no," please skip questions 4(c), (d), and (e) and proceed to question 5(a).

preponderano	e of the evidence that would fairly	at Plaintiff Irizarry has proven by a fair compensate him for any injury he has lant Yung's alleged use of excessive
	\$	
(š).	Please note that you may not aw and nominal damages. Therefo 4(c) that Plaintiff Irizarry is ent damages against this Defendant and proceed to question 4(e). If Irizarry is not entitled to compe question 4(c), please proceed to Plaintiff Irizarry nominal dama	re, if you found in question itled to compensatory , please skip question 4(d) you found that Plaintiff ensatory damages in question 4(d) and award
4(d): What as Defendant Y		ninal damages to Plaintiff Irizarry agains
	\$	
	Please proceed to question 4(e).	
Defendant Y	rry's constitutional rights, such tha	eponderance of the evidence that or intent or by reckless indifference to t punitive damages should be assessed
	Yes	No

II. FAILURE TO INTERVENE

PLEASE NOTE. If you answered "no" to either question 2(a) or 2(b) for Defendant Grimaldi in the above section pertaining to excessive force, your deliberations are complete. Please have the foreperson sign and date the last page of the Jury Verdict Form and notify the Marshal that you have reached a verdict. If you answered "Yes" to both questions 2(a) and 2(b) as to Defendant Grimaldi in the above section pertaining to excessive force, please answer the questions below pertaining to Plaintiff Irizarry's failure to intervene claim.

5(a): Has Plaintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that Defendant **Harris** was deliberately indifferent in failing to aid in preventing the use of excessive force by Defendant **Grimaldi**, although he had the power and ability to do so?

Yes	No	
	-	

If your answer to 5(a) is "yes," proceed to question 5(b). If your answer to 5(a) is "no," your deliberations are complete. Please have the foreperson sign and date the last page of the Jury Verdict Form and notify the Marshal that you have reached a verdict.

5(b): Has Plaintiff Irizarry proven by a fair preponderance of the evidence that the conduct of Defendant **Harris**, in failing to aid in preventing the use of excessive force by Defendant **Grimaldi**, was a proximate cause of Plaintiff Irizarry's injuries?

Yes	No

If your answer to question 5(b) is "yes," please proceed to question 5(c).

If your answer to question 5(b) is "no," your deliberations are complete. Please have the foreperson sign and date the last page of the Jury Verdict Form and notify the Marshal that you have reached a verdict.

preponderance of the evidence that	you find that Plaintiff Irizarry has proven by a fair would fairly compensate him for any injury he has d by Defendant Harris ' alleged failure to intervene?
\$	
and nominal damage 5(c) that Plaintiff Iris damages against Def skip question 5(d) an found that Plaintiff I compensatory damage	may not award both compensatory es. Therefore, if you found in question zarry is entitled to compensatory endant Harris on this claim, please ad proceed to question 5(e). If you frizarry is not entitled to ges in question 5(c), please proceed to yard Plaintiff Irizarry nominal than \$1.00.
5(d): What amount, if any, do you a Defendant Harris?	ward as nominal damages to Plaintiff Irizarry against
\$	<u> </u>
Please proceed to qu	estion 5(e).
Defendant Harris was motivated by Plaintiff Irizarry's constitutional right	by a fair preponderance of the evidence that vevil motive or intent or by reckless indifference to its, such that punitive damages should be assessed eged failure to aid in preventing the use of excessive
Yes	No
YOUR DELIBERATIONS ARE	COMPLETE.
	IAL THAT YOU HAVE REACHED A VERDICT. E AND SIGN YOUR VERDICT SHEET. THANK
Dated: July <u>7</u> , 2012	REDACTED FOREPERSON NAME
	Foreperson's Signature